

period were approached. The sample ( $n = 39$ ) consisted of patients who had not received any cancer therapy yet and their partners who consented to participate.

Couples were assessed, on the same day in separate rooms. European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) QLQ-C30 and LC13, Symptom Distress Scale (SDS), and Partner Relationship Inventory (PRI) were the instruments completed by all participants.

**Results:** 97.4% of the couples were married (mean duration of relationship 31 years). 94.9% of patients (mean age  $\pm$  SD:  $60.26 \pm 8.23$  years) were male with advanced disease and metastasis (59%). However, only 43.6% were aware of their diagnosis and just 10.3% of the existence of metastasis. Contrary most partners (mean age  $\pm$  SD:  $54.95 \pm 9.17$  years) were aware of patients' diagnosis (76.9%) and metastasis existence (46.2%).

Most of the participants described that patients experienced low symptom distress for the 12 of the 13 scale items. Almost half of the patients (51.3%) and most of their partners (84.6%) reported that they experienced high outlook distress. Partners estimated sufficiently patients' symptom distress with SDS scale. Although differences were observed between patients and partners reports about patients symptoms distress, statistically significant difference was found only for patient outlook distress ( $p = 0.00$ ).

Partners also evaluated sufficiently patients' quality of life. Twenty six subscales of the EORTC scale were examined but statistically significant differences were observed only in the fatigue subscale ( $p = 0.030$ ).

PRI measurement showed that most of the couples have a great conflict in their relationship. The majority of partners estimated that their relationship was better than patients did. Patients with poor quality relationships reported worse symptom distress and poor quality of life. Contrary to patients, partners perceptions of their relationship were not correlated with their perceptions of patients' symptom distress and quality of life.

**Conclusion:** There was an agreement between patients' and partners' perceptions concerning patients' symptom distress and quality of life independently of couples' relationship.

## 1592

## POSTER

### A multi-method evaluation of the prostate cancer charity specialist nurse programme

L. Fincham<sup>1</sup>, E. Ream<sup>1</sup>, S. Faithful<sup>2</sup>, V. Khoo<sup>3</sup>, J. Wilson-Barnett<sup>1</sup>, A. Richardson<sup>1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>King's College, London, Florence Nightingale School of Nursing & Midwifery, London, United Kingdom; <sup>2</sup>University of Surrey, European Institute of Health and Medical Sciences, Guildford, United Kingdom; <sup>3</sup>The Royal Marsden Hospital, Clinical Oncology, London, United Kingdom

**Background:** Prostate cancer is a significant health burden and, whilst now being detected and treated earlier, represents a challenge to the provision of effective supportive care. The central role of nurses in developing, facilitating, co-ordinating, and delivering care has been acknowledged, both in cancer care generally, and urological cancer specifically. Recent evidence (Corner 2003) has emerged on differences specialist nurses can make to improve the experience of diagnosis and treatment for people with cancer. Although this has led to innovative nursing care, the role needs to be rigorously and critically evaluated before widespread implementation (Wilson-Barnett & Beech 1994).

**Materials and Methods:** This paper reports findings to date from patient interviews conducted as part of an ongoing larger study evaluating the Prostate Cancer Charity Nurse Specialist (PCNS) programme. The programme currently has 4 PCNSs across the UK. Its evaluation compares sites that do/do not have a PCNS. A combination of methods are being used to detail PCNS's role and describe their impact on patient experience and quality of care they receive. Case studies, incorporating a series of interviews with 40 patients who have had contact with a PCNS, are in progress.

**Results:** Analysis of the first wave of interviews ( $n = 40$ ) presented in this paper revealed a number of themes on service provision and the role of the PCNS within it. Patients reported PCNS provided several types of support: psychological, social, physical and informational. They also described psychological support PCNSs provided their relatives. Patients saw PCNSs as operating in multiple roles, ranging from administrator to 'guardian angel'. They were seen as an information giver, arranger of appointments and tests, and advisor on symptom control. PCNSs also performed a crucial role in communicating information and advice to patients in an understandable way. Respondents perceived PCNSs had time to discuss diagnosis and decision-making and build rapport with patients.

**Conclusions:** The interviews illustrated different ways of working adopted by PCNSs in response to patients need; these were often highly individualised and exemplified a two-way process between patient and nurse. These operated along a spectrum from administrator to facilitator of complex emotional support, both to patients and families. Further work needs to be undertaken to understand how such relationships evolve.

## 1593

## POSTER

### Evaluation nursing care in elderly breast cancer patients treated with tamoxifen

J. Dusanka, S. Nikolic, Z. Neskovic-Konstantinovic, A. Curcic. *Institute for Oncology and Radiology of Serbia, Clinical Research Department, Belgrade, Serbia*

**Introduction:** The fundamental purpose of nursing research is to improve the quality of patient care through the provision of knowledge for practice and the incorporation of substantiated and relevant research into practice. Nursing staff was trained in proper of giving verbal instructions and a written leaflet to the patient and his family which can improve coping and reduce anxiety. Tamoxifen is the standard hormonal treatment in breast cancer patients. Although it is generally well tolerated in old population, but it could cause several adverse events.

**Aim:** The aim of this presentation is to analyze subjective adverse events important for nursing care and possibilities of nursing interventions and practice.

**Methods:** We have investigated a group of 30 outcome patients which was treated with tamoxifen for period from 2002–2003 year. The group consisted of female patients, histologically verified breast cancer, aged from 65–75 years. During the treatment we used data from hospital files of disease and data from oral interview, completed by patients subjectives. Furthermore, adequate communication and cooperation with the patients family helped us.

**Conclusions:** The theoretical model of the care of cancer patients was constructed on the basis of existing knowledge. The rationale for patients information/education was that the patients and family have a right to be adequate informed as part of an integrated cancer care delivery.

The obtained data suggested following conclusions:

- Tamoxifen was generally well tolerated in elderly breast cancer patients.
- Personal feeling about their symptoms.
- The appropriate information and continuous discussion about adverse events could change the patients personal perception of their own quality of life.

The needs for information are one of the most frequently cited self perceived needs identified by cancer patients and their families. Our research showed that there was a positive correlation between the patients level of being informed and nursing staff.

## 1594

## POSTER

### Research in the field of oncology nursing in Brazil: indicators for professional practice

T.C. Camargo<sup>1</sup>, M.C. Moreira<sup>2</sup>, V. Carvalho<sup>3</sup>, C.F. Figueirêdo<sup>2</sup>, L.F. Rosa<sup>2</sup>, M.F. Bolzan<sup>2</sup>. <sup>1</sup>National Cancer Institute, Continuing Education, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; <sup>2</sup>Federal University of Rio de Janeiro/Anna Nery Nursing School, Nursing Methodology, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; <sup>3</sup>Federal University of Rio de Janeiro/Anna Nery Nursing School, Public Health, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

This is a study for classification of the production of knowledge related to oncology nursing, within the scope of the integrated research project "Production of Knowledge in Oncology Nursing: Bases for Management and Care-related Models", being undertaken in the context of the Research Group Management of the Care Process in Oncology Nursing and of the Group Research Line and Epistemological Studies for Nursing, both registered at the Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro and enrolled in the Directory of Research Groups in Brazil – National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq – in Portuguese). The main idea guiding the study focuses the interest of the nursing activity as concerns the specificity of the knowledge produced in the dimensions of the art of caring and of the formal requirements for the nurse's performance. In addition to that, coherent answers have been sought for nursing knowledge committed to the internality of the profession and as directed to pedagogical and care practice. On designing the study, the following goals were set forth: identifying oncology nursing journals in the period from 1994 to 2004; pointing out the nature of the focused themes, the theoretical-methodological framework, and epistemological aspects of interest in those journals; and analyzing the contribution made by the studies to evidence that outlines management and care-related models in oncology nursing. The theoretical-methodological reference parameters of this study is linked to a methodology of epistemological categorization for research in nursing. Three journals were used as data sources: the Brazilian Journal of Nursing, the Latin-American Journal of Nursing, and the Brazilian Oncology Journal. The sample consisted of 88 articles. The results have shown the regular periodicity of publication in the time frame under study, with increased production from 2002 on. Regarding the nature of the articles, the emphasis points to research, followed by articles on experience/case review, reflection, and reporting. The main thematic contents centered